Regulation	Current Language	Proposed Change	BCBSNM Comments
Reference			
8.308.6.10.A(4)	None	(4) The newborn member's parent or legal	Based on the proposed revisions for NMAC 8.308.7.9,
		guardian will have three months from the first	Subsection H will not have a paragraph 2. If the proposed
		day of the month of birth to change the newbor	changes are finalized for 8.308.7.9, the reference in
		n's MCO assignment. After the three month	8.308.6.10(4) should be changed as follows:
		period, the newborn's MCO enrollment	
		may only be changed for cause, as set forth	(4) The newborn member's parent or legal guardian
		<u>in Paragraph (2) of Subsection H of</u>	will have three months from the first day of the month of
		8.308.7.9 NMAC.	birth to change the newborn's MCO assignment. After the
			three month period, the newborn's MCO enrollment
			may only be changed for cause, as set forth in
			Paragraph (2) of Subsection H of 8.308.7.9 NMAC.
8.308.7.9.C(1)(c)	None	(c) if the eligible recipient has family	BCBSNM proposes the below language to clarify this
		members who are enrolled with different	requirement:
		MCOs, he or she will be enrolled with the	
		MCO that the majority of other family members	(c) if the eligible recipient has family members who
		are enrolled with:	share the same family case ID who and are enrolled with
			different MCOs, he or she will be enrolled with the
			MCO that the majority of other family members who share
			the same family case ID are enrolled with:
			BCBSNM Question:
			The regulation does not address the scenario when a
			coequal number of family members exits i.e. there is no
			majority. For example, when 2 members within the family
			are currently enrolled with 2 different MCOs and a 2rd
			family member is then enrolled. In this situation what
			raming member is then enrolled. In this situation, what
			methodology would be used to assign an MCO to the 3rd
			methodology would be used to assign an MCO to the 3rd member?